# FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO

650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1600 Costa Mesa, California 92626 (714) 540-8700 Facsimile:(714) 540-9823

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** 

#### FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

FEB 1 4 2005

то:	UNITED STATES PATEN Examiner Douglas Q. Tran Group Art Unit 2624	T AND TRADEMARK	OFFICE
FROM:	Michael K. O'Neill (Reg. No. 32,622)		
RE:	U.S. Application No. 09/314, Our Ref.: 03560.002391	,123	
FAX NO.:	(703) 872-9306		
DATE:	February 14, 2005	NO. OF PAGES: (including cover page)	4
TIME:		SENT BY:	
•	•	SSAGE	
Attachment:		Carti	licate of Transmission
Transmittal for Amendment (2 pages); Amendment (16 pages).		i heroby certify the facsinile transmits Office: on Color 14 200	set this correspondence is being and to the Patent and Trademark  Licked K. Superior Signature  HICHAEL K. S. Well  Name of person signing certificate

## IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES PLEASE CALL 212-218-2100 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

We are transmitting from a Canon Model FAX-L770 (compatible with any

Group I, Group II or Group III machine).

THIS PACEIMILE MESSAGE AND ACCOMPANYING DOLUMENTS ARE INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE INDICATED ABOVE. INFORMATION TILAT IS PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE CONFIDENTIAL MAY BE CONTAINED THEREIN. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HERLIBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, REVIEW OR USE OF THIS MESSAGE, DOCUMENTS OR INFORMATION CONTAINED THERWIN IS STRUTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY

CA\_MAIN 92148v1

Ø 002

FEB 1 4 2005

03560.002391

#### PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	)
	: Examiner: Douglas Q. Tran
NOBUAKI MIYAHARA	)
	: Group Art Unit: 2624
Application No.: 09/314,123	)
	:
Filed: May 19, 1999	)
	:
For: PROCESSING OF	)
MULTIPLE DATA	:
TRANSFER JOBS	) February 14, 2005 (Monday)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION/ELECTION REQUIREMENT

Six:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated January 12, 2005 Applicant's hereby provisionally elects to prosecute the Group II claims, namely Claims 6 to 16. The Restriction Requirement is, however, traversed.

Certificate of Transmission

Traversal is on the ground that there would not be undue burden in examining two groups of claims in a single application. In particular MPEP § 808 makes clear that in order to require restriction between independent or distinct inventions, reasons for insisting upon a restriction requirement, such as undue burden, must also be shown. In the present instance, it is not believed that there would be an undue burden in examining the claims of Groups I and II in a single application since the Examiner has previously indicated that the Group I claims are allowable.

In addition, the Examiner is respectfully reminded that "the examiner should make a proper requirement as early as possible in the prosecution, in the first action if possible, otherwise, as soon as the need for a proper requirement develops." MPEP § 811. In this instance, the Restriction Requirement was issued after first action. Though the timing of this new requirement is permissible, Applicant respectfully submits that a "need for a proper requirement" had not developed subsequent to the first action, as Applicant's response to the first action, submitted August 16, 2004, made no amendments to the claims. Thus, this case falls squarely within the category contemplated at MPEP § 811:

> "Before making a restriction requirement after the first action on the merits, the examiner will consider whether there will be a serious burden if restriction is not required."

Here it is believed a serious burden (i.c., a burden significantly beyond the ordinary burdens of examination) cannot be shown, particularly since any such burden has already been expended. Indeed, all of the non-elected claims in Group I have already been allowed, such that there would be no burden, muchless a "serious" burden, in their continued examination.

Accordingly, based on the foregoing, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement are respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants Michael K. O'Neill Registration No. 32,622

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10112-2200 Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA\_MAIN 91809v1